



# **Medicines Optimisation Dashboard Comparators November 2016**

**Comparator Descriptions and Specifications** 

## Contents

Introduction	4
Changes to comparators for November 2016	4
CCG Comparators	6
ANTIBIOTICS: Antibacterial items per STAR-PU	6
ANTIBIOTICS: Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and Quinolones % items	8
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % EPS items	10
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices enabled for EPS	11
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices submitting EPS	12
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Repeat Dispensing	13
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of EPS Repeat Dispensing	14
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting MUR	15
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items	16
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting NMS	17
COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items	18
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or above	19
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement	20
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % achieving upper threshold or above	21
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % underlying achievement	22
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or above	23
CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement	24
CVD/CHD: NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items	26
CVD/CHD: Oral Anticoagulants % items	28
DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above	30
DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement	31
DIABETES: Emergency Diabetes Admissions	32
MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants (selected): ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)	33
MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants: First choice % items (2015)	35
MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper threshold or above	37
MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement	38
MENTAL HEALTH: Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)	40
MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper threshold or above	42
MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement	43
OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % achieving upper threshold or above	44
OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement	45
PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions	
service	46

	PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service	. 48
	PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Availability	. 50
	RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above	. 51
	RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement	. 53
	RESPIRATORY: Emergency Asthma Admissions	. 55
	RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upp threshold or above	
	RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % underlying achievement	. 58
	RESPIRATORY: Emergency COPD Admissions	. 60
Н	ospital Trust Comparators	. 61
	BIOSIMILARS: % of Infliximab biosimilars	. 61
	PATIENT EXPERIENCE: CQC In-patient Survey (2015) Q60 to Q63	. 62
	PATIENT SAFETY: Medicines Reconciliation	. 64
	PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS % of harmful events	. 66
	PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS reporting rate	. 67
	PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Litilisation	68

#### Introduction

The Medicines Optimisation dashboard is managed by the Medicines Optimisation Intelligence Group which is chaired by Bruce Warner, Deputy Chief Pharmacist, NHS England. This dashboard is part of the wider PPRS/Medicines Optimisation Programme, a joint programme of action by NHS England and the ABPI with the full support of Government through the Ministerial Industry Strategy Group.

Medicines Optimisation is about improving patient outcomes, quality and value from medicines use, guided by the principles of medicines optimisation, and to create a clinical pull to accelerate the optimal use of innovative, clinical and cost effective medicines which maximises the benefits of the PPRS Agreement.

This dashboard brings together a range of data relating to variation in medicines use and prescribing to inform the strategic medicines optimisation plans of CCGs and Trusts. It helps support NHS organisations in highlighting variation and facilitates discussion on how they compare with others across a range of comparators. It is not intended as a performance measurement tool and there are no targets.

Further information regarding medicines optimisation can be found on the NHS England website <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation&search="https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation">https://www.england.nhs.uk/?s=Medicines+Optimisation</a>

This document provides descriptions and specifications for the November 2016 Medicines Optimisation dashboard. Also included are details of withdrawn comparators as well as additions and changes to the previous comparators published August 2016.

Practice level data is refreshed monthly within the NHSBSA Information Services Portal <a href="https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome">https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome</a> Further work will be progressed to make accessibility to practice level data easier.

#### **Changes to comparators for November 2016**

The following additions, deletions and changes have been made to the comparators for November 2016 dashboard.

Data has been refreshed for all of comparators apart from Emergency Diabetes Admissions, Emergency Asthma Admissions and Emergency COPD Admissions.

Withdrawn Comparators	Comments
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF004) % achieving	No longer exists within QOF for 15/16.
upper threshold or above (CCG)	Replaced by Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or
	above
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF004) %	No longer exists within QOF for 15/16
underlying achievement (CCG)	Replaced by Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation Access to audit tool	This comparator that was included in earlier versions of the MO
(CCG)	Dashboard has been removed from this version. We are urgently
	exploring the development of a generic comparator to enable
	organisations to benchmark the use of patient safety audit software in
	line with the NICE guideline on Medicines Optimisation.
Diabetes: Antidiabetic drugs (BNF section 6.1.2)	This is a very important topic and further work is required to explore the
(CCG)	most appropriate data and effective method to represent a comparator
	in this area. The existing antidiabetic data has been removed while this
	work continues.
Patient Safety: Safety Audit Software (CCG)	The comparator on patient safety audit software that was included in
	earlier versions of the MO Dashboard has been removed from this
	version. We are urgently exploring the development of a generic
	comparator to enable organisations to benchmark the use of patient

	safety audit software in line with the NICE guideline on Medicines Optimisation.
Osteoporosis: DDD Osteoporosis drugs per 10,000 resident population age 50 and over (CCG)	The latest release of the Innovation Scorecard no longer contains osteoporosis data resulting in data not been included in the Medicines Optimisation Dashboard.

Additional Comparators	Comments
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving	Replaces Atrial fibrillation (AF004) % achieving upper threshold or
upper threshold or above (CCG)	above
CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) %	Replaces Atrial fibrillation (AF004) % underlying achievement
underlying achievement (CCG)	
Patient Experience: Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service (CCG)	Evaluation undertaken by Monmouth Partners with a recommendation from the evaluation being "Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. "Understanding the patient experience" is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard.
Patient Experience: Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service (CCG)	Evaluation undertaken by Monmouth Partners with a recommendation from the evaluation being "Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. "Understanding the patient experience" is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard.
Patient Experience: CQC In-patient Survey (2015) Q60 to Q63 (Trust)	Evaluation undertaken by Monmouth Partners with a recommendation from the evaluation being "Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. "Understanding the patient experience" is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard.

Changes to Comparators	Comments
Antibiotics: Antibacterial items per STAR-PU (CCG)	Data is now represented as 12 months accumulated data to align to other initiatives such at the Quality Premium. This also takes into
	account any seasonal variation.
Antibiotics: Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and	Data is now represented as 12 months accumulated data to align to
Quinolones % items (CCG)	other initiatives such at the Quality Premium. This also takes into
	account any seasonal variation.
CVD/CHD: Oral Anticoagulants % items (CCG)	The stacked bar chart has now been changed to a simple bar chart with the comparator changed to: Number of prescription items for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and rivaroxaban as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban, rivaroxaban and warfarin sodium. This allows simple monitoring over time and can be displayed clearly on the time series chart.
Biosimilar: % of Infliximab biosimilars (Trust)	The stacked bar chart has now been changed to a simple bar chart with the comparator changed to: The percentage of the biosimilar versions for Infliximab purchased by volume.  This allows simple monitoring over time and can be displayed clearly on the time series chart.

# **CCG Comparators**

#### **ANTIBIOTICS: Antibacterial items per STAR-PU**

Section	on 1: Introduction / Ove					
1.1	Title	Antibacterial items	s per STAR PU			
1.2	MO Theme	ANTIBIOTICS				
1.3	Definition		Number of prescription items for antibacterial drugs (BNF 5.1) per oral antibacterial (BNF 5.1 sub-set) ITEM based STAR-PU			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	TI EWI BAGGA G I / WY I	<u> </u>		
1.5	Numerator		ems for antibacterial c	Iruge (RNF 5.1)		
1.5	Numerator	Total Hamber of it	erris for artibacterial c	ilugs (DIVI 3.1)		
		BNF Name	BNF Name BNF Code			
		Antibacterial Drug				
1.6	Denominator			5.1 sub-set) ITEM based STAR-PU		
1.0	Denominator	Total Humber of o	iai ai libacteriais (Divi	3.1 3ub-3et/ 11 Livi based 31 Att-1 3		
		Oral antibacteria	l (BNF 5.1 sub-set) l	TEM based STAR PU (2013 weighting)		
		Age Band	Male	Female		
		0-4	0.8	0.8		
		5-14	0.3	0.4		
		15-24	0.3	0.6		
		25-34	0.2	0.6		
		35-44	0.3	0.6		
		45-54	0.3	0.6		
		55-64	0.4	0.7		
		65-74	0.7	1.0		
		75+	1.0	1.3		
1.7 Methodology		number of Oral ar	ntibacterials (BNF 5.1 umber of antibacterial	ial drugs (BNF 5.1) divided by the total sub-set) ITEM based STAR PU items per STAR PU o the numerator are not available. Oral		
		antibacterials (BNF 5.1 sub-set) ITEM based STAR PU values have been used as the denominator since items for non-oral antibacterials accounted for only 0.17% of all items for BNF 5.1 in 2014/15 (Source: ePACT).  STAR PUs are weightings devised by NHS Digital and the following link provides				
		http://content.digit		g Measures 7/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pdf/pres-		
		meas-book-v7.pdf  NHSBSA update list size information throughout a financial quarter and patient list sizes are only fully refreshed at the end of that financial quarter start patient list size in this comparator are based on the latest available patient list size.				
		Information Service		ata are available through NHSBSA s.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome).		
Section	on 2: Rationale					
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparator is to support the evidence and messages included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation' publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise prescribing where appropriate and monitor implementation.				
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	antibiotics underp resistance it is imp	in routine medical pra portant to only prescril	threat to public health, especially becaus ctice. To help prevent the development of be antibiotics when they are necessary, a as colds and most coughs, sinusitis, eara	nd	

		See the NICE website for the latest update of the Medicines and Prescribing Centre publication.  http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeutictopics/keyTherapeuticTopics.jsp  This metric is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital. http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf	
Section	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is	
		the processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the achieved level % 12 month rolling is 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system	

## **ANTIBIOTICS: Co-amoxiclav, Cephalosporins and Quinolones % items**

	n 1: Introduction / Over	view		
1.1	Title			
1.2	MO Theme	ANTIBIOTICS		
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for selected antibacterial drugs		
		(sub-set of BNF 5.1)	ption items for selected antibacterial drugs	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for co-amor	xiclay, cephalosporins and quinolones	
1.0		Trambor of procential from the for our announce	aday, copridicoporado ana quancience	
		BNF Name	BNF Code	
		Co-amoxiclav	0501013K0	
		Cephalosporins	0501021	
		Quinolones	050112	
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription items for BNF 5.1	.1; 5.1.2.1; 5.1.3; 5.1.5; 5.1.8; 5.1.11;	
		5.1.12; 5.1.13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		BNF Name	BNF Code	
		Cephalosporins	0501021	
		Macrolides	050105	
		Metronidazole, Tinidazole & Ornidazole Penicillins	050111	
		Quinolones	050101 050112	
		Sulphonamides & Trimethoprim	050112	
		Tetracyclines	050100	
		Urinary-Tract Infections	050113	
1.7	Methodology		amoxiclav, cephalosporins and quinolones	
		divided by the number of prescription iter		
		5.1.8; 5.1.11; 5.1.12; 5.1.13		
		Represented as percentage of items for o	co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins and	
		quinolones		
		The denominator attempts to exclude ant	thiotics that do not provide a suitable	
		alternative to co-amoxiclav, cephalospori		
		antibiotics i.e.	no or quiriolorios ana/or are oposialist	
		(Other time periods and practice level da		
		Information Services Portal: https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome)		
		catalogued under the MOKTT reports		
0	0.0.0			
	n 2: Rationale	The many and of the many cuit in a common and		
2.1	Purpose	included in the 'Key therapeutic topics –	or is to support the evidence and messages	
		implementation' publication by highlightin		
			ariation and a movement of the mean in the	
			parator is intended to support organisations	
		and prescribers in reviewing the appropri		
		prescribing where appropriate and monitor		
2.2	Evidence and Policy		hreat to public health, especially because	
	Base	antibiotics underpin routine medical pract		
			e antibiotics when they are necessary, and	
			s colds and most coughs, sinusitis, earache	
		and sore throats.	ronorio antibiotico abaculd ba const	
		HPA guidance recommends that simple gossible when antibiotics are necessary.		
		co-amoxiclav, quinolones and cephalosp		
		spectrum antibiotics remain effective bec		
			, Clostridium difficile and resistant urinary	
		tract infections.	,	
			te of the Medicines and Prescribing Centre	
		publication	· · · · · · ·	
		http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeut		
		I This comparator is taken from the Medici	nes Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics	

	n 3: Data	(MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf</a>
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % EPS items**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	% EPS items		
		70 ET O ROMO		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Percentage of all items supplied via electronic prescriptions service (EPS)		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS during the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	The total number of items prescribed and dispensed during the reporting period		
1.7	Methodology	The number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS divided by the total number of items prescribed and dispensed		
		Represented as percentage of all items supplied electronically		
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: <a href="https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome">https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome</a> ) catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports		
	n 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	Almost all community pharmacies are Electronic Prescription Service (EPS) enabled but many GP practices are not. This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.		
Sectio	n 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014		
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.		
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.		
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.		
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.		

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices enabled for EPS**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1 Title % of Practices enabled for EPS			
1.1		70 OF Fractions Chapter for ET C	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of practices enabled for electronic prescriptions (EPS)	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices that have submitted at least one live prescription up to the end of the specified period	
1.6	Denominator	Number of practices at the end of the same period	
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices that have submitted at least one live electronic prescription divided by the number of practices in the same reporting period	
		Represented as percentage of practices enabled for EPS	
		A practice is determined as enabled when a claim has been received by the NHSBA	
		Data is for GP practices active at any time during the reporting period	
Section	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	This metric aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.	
Section	on 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with month end data Data available as at end of December 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.	
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.	
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.	
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.	

# COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Practices submitting EPS

Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of Practices submitting EPS
1.1	Title	% of Practices submitting EPS
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT
1.3	Definition	Percentage of practices undertaking electronic prescriptions (EPS)
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	
		Number of practices who submitted EPS messages during the reporting period
1.6	Denominator	The total number of practices during the reporting period
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices who submitted EPS messages divided by the total number of practices
		Represented as percentage of practices undertaking EPS
		Data is for GP practices active at any time during the reporting period
Sectio	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	This metric aims to allow a CCG to explore how EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services.
2.2	Evidence and Policy	EPS enables prescribers such as GPs and practice nurses to send prescriptions
	Base	electronically to a dispenser (such as a pharmacy) of the patient's choice. The prescription is then sent on to NHS Business Services Authority for payment. This makes the prescribing and dispensing process more efficient and convenient for patients and staff.
	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Repeat Dispensing**

COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Repeat Dispensing Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	n 1: Introduction / Overv	% of Repeat Dispensing
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT
1.3	Definition	Percentage of repeat dispensing items compared to all prescribing
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of repeat dispensing items prescribed and dispensed during the reporting period
1.6	Denominator	Total number of NHS prescribed and dispensed items during the reporting period
1.7	Methodology	The number of repeat dispensing items prescribed and dispensed divided by the total number of NHS prescribed and dispensed items
		Represented as percentage of repeat dispensing items (Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: <a href="https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome">https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome</a> ). catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports
Section	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	There is significant variation in the proportion of prescriptions managed in this way with some GP practices not making this service available to their patients. The use of this metric aims to increase the proportion of items provided this way and to ultimately free up GP and practice time.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In 2002 it was estimated that up to 80% of all repeat prescriptions could be replaced with repeat dispensing over time, "yielding savings of up to 2.7 million hours of GP and practice time". Feedback from areas that have implemented repeat dispensing is that patients find the system more convenient.
		This opportunity was highlighted in the Transforming Primary care document published by DH and NHS England.
		https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/30413 9/Transforming_primary_care.pdf
		Repeat dispensing enables GPs to issue a single prescription for up to a year, which pharmacists are then able to dispense in instalments. It provides pharmacists with a number of opportunities to have a discussion with the patient to determine if they still require the medicine and whether the patient is experiencing any problems with taking it.
Section	n 3: Data	•
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random
		inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of EPS Repeat Dispensing**

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of EPS Repeat Dispensing	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of all items prescribed as electronic repeat dispensing as a proportion of all electronic prescriptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of repeat dispensing items submitted via EPS during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	The total number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS during the reporting period	
1.7	Methodology	The number of repeat dispensing items submitted via EPS divided by the total number of items prescribed and dispensed via EPS	
		Represented as percentage of EPS repeat dispensing items	
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: <a href="https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome">https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome</a> ). catalogued under the Prescribing Monitoring reports	
Sectio	n 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Measure of the uptake and utilisation of repeat dispensing via EPS This comparator aims to allow a CCG to explore how repeat dispensing via EPS could be deployed locally to derive the greatest benefit for patients and efficient prescription services	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In 2002, it was estimated that up to 80% of all repeat prescriptions could be replaced with repeat dispensing over time, "yielding savings of up to 2.7 million hours of GP and practice time". Feedback from areas that have implemented repeat dispensing is that patients find the system more convenient.  Repeat dispensing enables GPs to issue a single prescription for up to a year, which pharmacists are then able to dispense in instalments. It provides pharmacists with a number of opportunities to have a discussion with the patient to determine if they still require the medicine and whether the patient is experiencing any problems with taking it.	
Sectio	n 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.	
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.	
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.	
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.	

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting MUR**

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	% of Pharmacies conducting MUR	
1.1	1110	70 of Frialmacies conducting More	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of pharmacies conducting MUR	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of pharmacies claiming for one or more MURs during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims during the reporting	
		period	
1.7	Methodology	The average (i.e. the mean) number of pharmacies claiming for one or more MUR in the 12 month reporting period divided by the average number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims in the same 12 months. This provides a view of what is taking place on a monthly basis and the proportion of pharmacies undertaking the service regularly. This will be different to actual figures available in other publications.	
		Represented as percentage of pharmacies conducting MUR	
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data	
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
Section	on 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via MUR services to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The MUR service is an Advanced service within the NHS community pharmacy contractual framework. It is a structured review that is undertaken by a pharmacist to help patients to manage their medicines more effectively.  Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for MURs and states  Payment will be made up to a maximum of 400 MURs per pharmacy for the period commencing on 1 April and ending on 31 March in any year.  The DT is available through the link below.	
Caatia	n 2. Data	http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
	on 3: Data	All IO Duein and Comiting Authority	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.	

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Number of MUR per 1,000 dispensed items
		Trainibor of Merk por 1,000 disposition in the market por 1,000 di
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT
1.3	Definition	Number of MUR per 1,000 prescription items dispensed
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of MUR claimed by pharmacies during the reporting period
1.6	Denominator	Number of items dispensed, taken from the pharmacy submission to NHSBSA for the reporting period divided by 1,000
1.7	Methodology	The number of MUR claimed by pharmacies divided by the number of items dispensed (divided by 1,000)
		Represented as number of MUR per 1,000 prescription items dispensed
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data.
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG
Sectio	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via MUR services to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The MUR service is an Advanced service within the NHS community pharmacy contractual framework. It is a structured review that is undertaken by a pharmacist to help patients to manage their medicines more effectively.  Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for MURs and states payment will be made up to a maximum of 400 MURs per pharmacy for the period commencing on 1 April and ending on 31 March in any year The DT is available through the link below.  http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx
Sectio	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

## **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: % of Pharmacies conducting NMS**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	% of Pharmacies conducting NMS	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	
1.3	Definition	Percentage of pharmacies conducting NMS	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of pharmacies claiming for one or more NMS during the reporting period	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims during the reporting	
		period	
1.7	Methodology	The average (i.e. the mean) number of pharmacies claiming for one or more NMS in the 12 month reporting period divided by the average number of pharmacies submitting reimbursement claims in the same 12 months. This provides a view of what is taking place on a monthly basis and the proportion of pharmacies undertaking the service regularly. This will be different to actual figures available in other publications.	
		Represented as percentage of pharmacies conducting NMS	
l		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included	
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data.  NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG	
Sectio	n 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via NMS to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The New Medicine Service (NMS) was the fourth Advanced Service to be added to the NHS community pharmacy contract; it commenced on 1st October 2011.  The service provides support for people with long-term conditions newly prescribed a medicine to help improve medicines adherence; it is initially focused on particular patient groups and conditions.  The NMS service is designed to provide early support to patients to maximise the benefits of the medicine they have been prescribed.  Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for NMS  The DT is available through the link below.	
		http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx	
Sectio 3.1	on 3: Data Data source	NHS Business Services Authority	
J. 1	Data Source	THE DUSTILESS SELVICES AUTHORITY	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014	
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.	

#### **COMMUNITY SUPPORT: Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items**

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Number of NMS per 1,000 dispensed items		
1.1	TILLE	Number of Nivio per 1,000 dispensed items		
1.2	MO Theme	COMMUNITY SUPPORT		
1.3	Definition	Number of NMS per 1,000 prescription items dispensed		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of NMS claimed by pharmacies during the reporting period		
1.6	Denominator	Number of items dispensed, taken from the pharmacy submission to NHSBSA for the reporting period divided by 1,000		
1.7	Methodology	The number of NMS claimed by pharmacies divided by the number of items dispensed (divided by 1,000)		
		Represented as number of NMS per 1,000 prescription items dispensed		
		Dispensing doctors and appliance contractors are not included		
		From time period July 2015 to June 2016 onwards Local Pharmaceutical Services Pharmacies and Late Accounts (late submissions of prescriptions which do not pertain to the month they were submitted in) are included in the data.		
		NHSBSA use NHS geographical locations based on pharmacy postcodes in order to map pharmacies to a CCG		
Sectio	n 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	Ensure that patients receive support via NMS to take their medicines as intended. Between 30% and 50% of medicines are not taken as intended.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The New Medicine Service (NMS) was the fourth Advanced Service to be added to the NHS community pharmacy contract; it commenced on 1st October 2011.  The service provides support for people with long-term conditions newly prescribed a medicine to help improve medicines adherence; it is initially focused on particular patient groups and conditions.  The NMS service is designed to provide early support to patients to maximise the benefits of the medicine they have been prescribed.  Part VIC of the NHS Drug Tariff (DT) for England and Wales explains the arrangements for NMS.  The DT is available through the link below.  http://www.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/PrescriptionServices/4940.aspx		
Sectio	n 3: Data	TREPS/WWW.TITIOSOCIATIO.CULVT TOCOMPRIORIOGOTYTOCO/TO TO.COCK		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months accumulated data Data available from January 2014		
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.		

## CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or above

Sect	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % achieving upper threshold or above		
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD		
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (70% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator AF007		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AF007 (achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions)		
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AF007		
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AF007 (achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AF007  Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions		
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.		
Sect	ion 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.		
		NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for AF007 for an achievement of 40 to 70% with a maximum of 12 points awarded for achievement of 70% or more.		
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20- %2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia and if left untreated is a significant risk factor for stroke and other morbidities. Existing evidence suggests that many patients with AF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with AF.		
Sect	ion 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266		
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2015		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

## CVD/CHD: Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement

	ion 1: Introduct	
	Title	
1.1	Title	Atrial fibrillation (AF007) % underlying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator AF007 inclusive of exceptions
1.4	Reporting	CCG
'''	Level	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more
		who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more inclusive of exceptions
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more who are currently treated with anti-coagulation drug therapy divided by the number of patients with atrial fibrillation with a record of a CHA2DS2-VASc score of 2 or more inclusive of exceptions  Represented as a percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions  The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on
		the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice. See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.
Sect	ion 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for AF007 for an achievement of 40 to 70% with a maximum of 12 points awarded for achievement of 70% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf</a>
22	Fyidence	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Atrial fibrillation is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia and if left untreated is a significant risk factor for stroke and other morbidities. Existing evidence suggests that many patients with AF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with AF.
Sect	ion 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website  http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2015
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

## CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % achieving upper threshold or above

1.1	ion 1: Introducti	
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF003) % achieving upper threshold or above
	THE	Treat rande (in 000) /0 achieving upper theshold of above
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (100% inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator HF003
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF003 (achievement of 100% inclusive of exceptions)
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF003
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF003 (achievement of 100% inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF003  Represented as a percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.
Secti	ion 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for HF003 for an achievement of 60 to 100% with a maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 100% or more.
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water.  Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits:  •it helps make the heart stronger  •it improves your symptoms  •it reduces the risk of a flare-up  •it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.
	ion 3: Data	AND DO NOT
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

## CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF003) % underlying achievement

Sect	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF003) % underlying achievement	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator HF003 inclusive of	
	5	exceptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic	
'		dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic	
		dysfunction inclusive of exceptions	
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic	
		dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB divided by the number of patients	
		with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction inclusive of	
		exceptions	
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions	
		represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions	
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy	
		the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered	
		patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would	
		ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on	
		the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the	
		denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.	
		See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)	
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-	
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))	
		for full details.	
Sect	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality	
		care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.	
		Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.	
		Within the OOF there are a number of indicators that are accordant with the affective and/or	
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.	
		appropriate use of medicines.	
		NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for HF003 for an achievement of 60 to 100% with a	
		maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 100% or more.	
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20- %2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims	
	and Policy	to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery	
	Base	that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water.	
		Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits:	
		•it helps make the heart stronger	
		•it improves your symptoms	
		•it reduces the risk of a flare-up	
		•it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives	
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF	
		remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be	
		deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.	
	ion 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
	Data arress	OOF CCC level table. NHIC Digital was site.	
3.2	Data owner	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website	
	& contact details	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266	
	ucialis	http://www.content.ulgital.hins.ulvoatalogue/FOBZZZ00	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)	
3.5	o i idillo	Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance	·	

## CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or above

	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF004) % achieving upper threshold or above
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (65% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator HF004
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF004 (achievement of 65% or more inclusive of exceptions)
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF004
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator HF004 (achievement of 65% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator HF004
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.
Sect	ion 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for HF004 for an achievement of 40 to 65% with a maximum of 9 points awarded for achievement of 65% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf</a>
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In most cases, heart failure is a lifelong condition that cannot be cured. Treatment therefore aims to find a combination of measures, including lifestyle changes, medicines, devices or surgery that will improve heart function or help the body get rid of excess water.  Effective treatment for heart failure can have the following benefits:  •it helps make the heart stronger  •it improves your symptoms  •it reduces the risk of a flare-up  •it allows people with the condition to live longer and fuller lives  This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with HF remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with HF.
	ion 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

## CVD/CHD: Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement

	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview
1.1	Title	Heart failure (HF004) % underlying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator HF004 inclusive of exceptions
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB who are additionally currently treated with a beta-blocker licensed for heart failure
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB inclusive of exceptions
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB who are additionally currently treated with a beta-blocker licensed for heart failure divided by the number of patients with a current diagnosis of heart failure due to left ventricular systolic dysfunction who are currently treated with an ACE-I or ARB inclusive of exceptions
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)

3.2	Data owner	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website
	& contact	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/
	details	http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)
		Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality	None provided
	assurance	

#### CVD/CHD: NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items

	on 1: Introduction / Over	view
1.1	Title	NSAIDS: Ibuprofen & Naproxen % items
		·
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD
1.3	Definition	Number of prescription items for ibuprofen and naproxen as a percentage of the total number of prescription items for all NSAIDs
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for ibuprofen and naproxen (sub-set of BNF section 10.1.1)
		BNF Name BNF Code
		Ibuprofen 1001010J0
		Ibuprofen Lysine 1001010AD
		Naproxen
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription items for BNF section 10.1.1 (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs)
		BNF Name Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs BNF Code 100101
1.7	Methodology	The number of prescription items for ibuprofen and naproxen divided by the number of prescription items for all NSAIDs
		Represented as percentage of ibuprofen and naproxen items
		(Other time periods and practice level data are available through NHSBSA Information Services Portal: <a href="https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome">https://apps.nhsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome</a> ) catalogued under the MOKTT reports
Section	on 2: Rationale	- Containing and an area more in a point
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparator is to support the evidence and messages included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation' publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise prescribing where appropriate and monitor implementation.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	There are long-standing and well-recognised gastrointestinal and renal safety concerns with all NSAIDs. There is also an increased risk of cardiovascular events with many NSAIDs, including COX-2 inhibitors and some traditional NSAIDs. The MHRA recommends that the lowest effective dose of NSAID should be prescribed for the shortest time necessary for control of symptoms.  In 2005, a review by the European Medicines Agency identified an increased risk of thrombotic events, such as heart attack and stroke, with COX-2 inhibitors. In 2006, they also concluded that a small increased risk of thrombotic events could not be excluded with non-selective NSAIDs, including diclofenac, particularly when they are used at high doses for long-term treatment. This risk does not appear to be shared by ibuprofen at 1200 mg per day or less, or naproxen at 1000 mg per day.  See the NICE website for the latest update of the Medicines and Prescribing Centre publication <a href="http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeutictopics/keyTherapeuticTopics.jsp">http://www.nice.org.uk/mpc/keytherapeutictopics/keyTherapeuticTopics.jsp</a>
Conti	2: Data	This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions-and-Specifications-2015-16.pdf">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-2015-16.pdf</a>
Section 3.1	n 3: Data	NHS Rusiness Services Authority
J. I	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net

3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is
		the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

#### CVD/CHD: Oral Anticoagulants % items

	CHD: Oral Anticoagul on 1: Introduction / Over			
1.1	Title	Oral Anticoagulants % ite	ms	
1.2	MO Theme	CVD/CHD	and for actively an algebra to a standard and a second	
1.3	Definition	rivaroxaban as a percenta	ms for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and age of the total number of prescription items for apixaban, aban, rivaroxaban and warfarin sodium	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	,	
1.5	Numerator	Number of prescription items for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban an rivaroxaban		
		BNF Name	BNF Code	
		Apixaban	0208020Z0	
		Dabigatran etexilate	0208020X0	
		Edoxaban	0208020AA	
		Rivaroxaban	0208020Y0	
1.6	Denominator	Number of prescription ite rivaroxaban and warfarin	ms for apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban, sodium	
		BNF Name	BNF Code	
		Apixaban	0208020Z0	
		Dabigatran etexilate	0208020X0	
		Edoxaban	0208020AA	
		Rivaroxaban	0208020Y0	
		Warfarin sodium	0208020V0	
1.7	Methodology	Number of prescription ite drugs listed in the denomi	ms for the 4 drugs listed in the numerator divided by 5 nator	
		Represented as percentarivaroxaban items	ge of apixaban, dabigatran etexilate, edoxaban and	
Section	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose		variation in uptake of newer and alternative by NICE and allows for the monitoring of uptake over time.	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	This indicator was chosen to highlight uptake of medicines appraised by NICE. Most patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) will require anticoagulation therapy to reduce their risk of stroke. Increasing the range of treatment options available will support a patient-centred approach to treatment and improve outcomes by increasing the proportion of patients regularly taking anticoagulants.  The four oral anticoagulant medicines (OACs) have recently been appraised by NICE and are an option, alongside warfarin, for the management of patients with Atrial Fibrillation (AF). In time, we would hope to highlight how many patients with a diagnosis of AF are not receiving any anticoagulation (e.g. via the NHS IQ GRASP-AF tool ( <a href="http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/primis/">http://www.nottingham.ac.uk/primis/</a> )		
		have a diagnosis of Atrial medication. Patients shou and a shared decision reamets their individual nee adhere to. Dabigatran etexilate (www (www.nice.org.uk/TA256) (www.nice.org.uk/TA275) (www.nice/TA355) was as systemic embolism in peodistran etexilate, edox recommended by NICE ametric measures the varial Warfarin. These medicine management of other con		
			roxaban (TA 170) and apixaban (TA 245) have also been prevention of thromboembolism following hip or knee	

		Dabigatran (TA 327), rivaroxaban (TA 261), apixaban (TA 341) and edoxaban (TA 354) have also been appraised by NICE for the treatment and prevention of deepvein thrombosis and prevention of recurrent deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. In addition rivaroxaban (TA 287) has been appraised by NICE for the treatment of pulmonary embolism.  Rivaroxaban (TA 335) has also been appraised by NICE for preventing adverse outcomes after acute management of acute coronary syndrome.  The NHS Innovation Review, Innovation Health and Wealth (December 2011), was launched by the Prime Minister alongside the Strategy for UK Life Sciences (December 2011). The document highlights eight areas where it makes recommendations; one of which is that we should reduce variation in the NHS, and drive greater compliance with guidance from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.  This indicator has been chosen to show the variation in the uptake of OACs and therefore highlight where CCGs are not making these anticoagulant medicines available to patients in their area. It should be noted that NICE have positively appraised these medicines as options for treatment.  The metric is likely to highlight prescribing of OACs for atrial fibrillation, and possibly treatment and prevention of DVT/PE in primary care. Use of OACs for prevention of venous thromboembolism post hip or knee surgery will be mostly or entirely within
0	- 0. D-1-	secondary care and therefore not reflected in the metric.
3.1	n 3: Data Data source	NIJC Punisaga Carriaga Authority
3.1	Data Source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above

		tes Melitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above
	ion 1: Introduct	
1.1	Title	Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % achieving upper threshold or above
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (92% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator DM009
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator DM009 (achievement of 92% or more inclusive of exceptions)
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DM009
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator DM009 (achievement of 92% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DM009
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.
Sect	ion 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.  Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for DM009 for an achievement of 52 to 92% with a maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 92% or more. <a "="" href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contract&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;2.2&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Evidence&lt;br&gt;and Policy&lt;br&gt;Base&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high. There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.  There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK).&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Uncontrolled diabetes can result in devastating complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places great strain on NHS resources.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with diabetes remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with diabetes.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;Sect&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;ion 3: Data&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;3.1&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Data source&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;NHS Digital&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;3.2&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Data owner&lt;br&gt;&amp; contact&lt;br&gt;details&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website  &lt;a href=" http:="" qof.digital.nhs.uk="">http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a> http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

DIABETES: Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement

Soct	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview
1.1	Title	Diabetes Mellitus (DM009) % underlying achievement
1.1	TILLE	Diabetes intellitus (Dividus) /0 undenying achievement
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator DM009 inclusive of
4.4	Departing	exceptions CCG
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 75 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with diabetes on the register (inclusive of exceptions)
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients with diabetes, on the register, in whom the last IFCC-HbA1c is 75 mmol/mol or less in the preceding 12 months divided by the number of patients with diabetes on the register (inclusive of exceptions)
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))
		for full details.
	ion 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for DM009 for an achievement of 52 to 92% with a maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 92% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf</a>
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high.  There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.
	Dase	There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK).
		Uncontrolled diabetes can result in devastating complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places great strain on NHS resources.
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with diabetes remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with diabetes.
Sect	ion 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided

#### **DIABETES: Emergency Diabetes Admissions**

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Emergency Diabetes Admissions		
1.2	MO Theme	DIABETES		
1.3	Definition	The number of emergency attendances for diabetes per 100 patients on the practice QOF diabetes disease register		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range E10-E14		
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients (17+) on practice QOF diabetes disease register as of 31 March 2015		
1.7	Methodology	The count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range E10-E14 divided by the number of patients (17+) on practice diabetes disease register as of 31 March 2015		
		Represented as emergency diabetes admissions per 100 patients on practice QOF diabetes disease register		
Section	on 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with diabetes as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Diabetes is a lifelong condition that causes a person's blood sugar level to become too high. There are two main types of diabetes – type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes.		
		There are 3.5 million people diagnosed with diabetes in the UK and an estimated 549,000 people who have the condition, but don't know it (Diabetes UK).		
		Uncontrolled diabetes can result in complications and reduced quality of life for patients and increased mortality. In addition it places a burden on NHS resources.		
		If diabetes is uncontrolled this can lead to fluctuations in blood sugar levels potentially resulting in hospital admission. Emergency admissions due to diabetes can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.		
Section	on 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>		
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

## MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants (selected): ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)

Section	on 1: Introduction / Ove	rview			
1.1	Title	Antidepressants (selected): /	ADQ/STAR I	PU (ADQ based)	
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition	Number of average daily qua per antidepressants (BNF 4.3			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG			
1.5	Numerator	Total average daily quantities (ADQ) usage for selected antidepressib-set)			
		BNF Name Antidepressant Drugs		BNF Code 0403	
		excluding: BNF Name Amitriptyline Hydrochloride Clomipramine Hydrochloride Imipramine Hydrochloride Nortriptyline Trimipramine Monoamine-Oxidase Inhibito	ors (MAOIs)	0403040F0	
1.6	Denominator	Number of antidepressant (B	3NF 4.3 sub-	set) ADQ based	STAR-PU
		Antidepressant (BNF 4.3 st	ub-set) ADG	based STAR-F	PU (2013 weighting)
		Age band	Male	ı	emale
		0-4	0.0		0.0
		5-14	0.1		0.1
		15-24	4.7		11.4
		25-34	12.9		27.1
		35-44	19.8		42.6
		45-54	22.7		49.8
		55-64	23.7		44.9
		65-74	18.1		35.4
		75+	18.7		33.4
1.7	Methodology	The total ADQ usage for selection (as above in the numerator) divided by the number of ant Represented as antidepress:  STAR-PUs are weightings defurther information regarding http://content.digital.nhs.uk/nmeas-book-v7.pdf  NHSBSA update list size inforpatient list sizes are only fully STAR-PU values used in this patient list size.  (Other time periods and practing information Services Portal: catalogued under the MOKT	ected antider idepressant ants ADQ / \$ evised by Nh Prescribing nedia/10027  ormation thro y refreshed a s comparato etice level da https://apps.	(BNF 4.3 sub-section (BNF 4.3	4.3 sub-set) with exclusions at) ADQ based STAR-PU e following link provides asures-booklet/pdf/pres- al quarter and these financial quarter; therefore he latest available complete

Sectio	n 2: Rationale	
2.1	Purpose	The purpose of the prescribing comparator is to support the evidence and messages included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation' publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise prescribing where appropriate and monitor implementation.
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed.  Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two.  The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan.  This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics
		(MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications- 201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf
Soctio	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

## MENTAL HEALTH: Antidepressants: First choice % items (2015)

Title  MO Theme Definition  Reporting Level Numerator  Denominator	Antidepressants: First choice % items (20 MENTAL HEALTH Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate of prescription items for 'selected CCG Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate approximate approximately approxim	e Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) roved name as a percentage of the total d'antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3)  e Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) roved name  BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Definition Reporting Level Numerator	Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate prescription items for selected CCG  Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate prescribed by approximate prescri	roved name as a percentage of the total d'antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3)  e Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) roved name  BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Definition Reporting Level Numerator	Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate prescription items for selected CCG  Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approximate approximate prescribed by approximate prescri	roved name as a percentage of the total d'antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3)  e Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) roved name  BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Numerator	Number of prescription items for Selective (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by approach BNF Name Citalopram HCI Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI	e Serotonin Re-uptake Inhibitors (SSRIs) roved name  BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
	(sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescribed by applied BNF Name Citalopram HCI Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI	BNF Code 0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Denominator	Citalopram HCI Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI	0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Denominator	Citalopram HCI Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI	0403030Z0AA 0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Denominator	Citalopram Hydrob Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCl Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCl	0403030D0AA 0403030X0AA	
Denominator	Escitalopram Fluoxetine HCI Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCI	0403030X0AA	
Denominator	Fluoxetine HCl Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCl		
Denominator	Fluvoxamine Mal Paroxetine HCl	0403030E0AA	
Denominator	Paroxetine HCI	0403030L0AA	
Denominator		0403030P0AA	
Denominator	Sertraline HCI	0403030Q0AA	
	Number of prescription items for selected	·	
	·	·	
	BNF Name	BNF Code	
	Antidepressant Drugs	0403	
	excluding:		
	BNF Name	BNF Code	
	Amitriptyline Hydrochloride	0403010B0	
	Clomipramine Hydrochloride	0403010F0	
	Imipramine Hydrochloride	0403010N0	
		0403010V0	
		0403010Y0	
		040302	
	Flupentixol Hydrochloride	0403040F0	
	Descriptions and Specifications on the NI	n Key Therapeutic Comparators HS Digital website – Link in Evidence and	
Methodology	The number of prescription items for SSRIs (sub-set of BNF 4.3.3) prescription approved name divided by the number of prescription items for selected antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3) with exclusions (as above in the de		
	Represented as percentage of items for f	irst choice generic SSRIs	
2: Rationale			
Purpose		or is to support the evidence and messages	
	included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – Medicines management options for local implementation' publication by highlighting variation in prescribing across organisations, with the aim of reducing variation and a movement of the mean in the appropriate direction over time. The comparator is intended to support organisations and prescribers in reviewing the appropriateness of current prescribing, revise		
Evidence and Policy			
Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children		
	aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or dep		
F	2: Rationale Purpose	Nortriptyline Trimipramine Monoamine-Oxidase Inhibitors (MAOIs) Flupentixol Hydrochloride  For full details see Medicines Optimisatio Descriptions and Specifications on the NH Policy base section.  The number of prescription items for SSR approved name divided by the number of antidepressants (sub-set of BNF 4.3) wit  Represented as percentage of items for fi  (Other time periods and practice level dat Information Services Portal: https://apps.icatalogued under the MOKTT reports  Purpose  The purpose of the prescribing comparate included in the 'Key therapeutic topics – N implementation' publication by highlightin organisations, with the aim of reducing va appropriate direction over time. The comp and prescribers in reviewing the appropria prescribing where appropriate and monitor prescribing where appropriate and monitor symptoms. They range from lasting feelin interest in the things patients used to enjousith depression also have symptoms of a affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point.	

		have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan.  This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf</a>
Section 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.
		Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.
		The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.
		NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

### MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper threshold or above

Sect	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Depression (DEP003) % achieving upper threshold or above		
1.2	MO Theme Definition	MENTAL HEALTH  The representation of projections in a CCC that askings upper threshold as above (800), as great		
1.3		The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (80% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator DEP003		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator DEP003 (achievement of 80% or more inclusive of exceptions)		
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DEP003		
1.7	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indic DEP003 (achievement of 80% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total numpractices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator DEP003			
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions		
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))		
		for full details.		
Sect	ion 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for DEP003 for an achievement of 45 to 80% with a maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 80% or more.		
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-		
	Evidence and Policy Base	W2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf  Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed.  Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two.  The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan.  This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with depression remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with depression.		
	ion 3: Data	NUC Digital		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website <a href="http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/">http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a> <a href="http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266">http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266</a>		
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

### MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement

	MENTAL HEALTH: Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Depression (DEP003) % underlying achievement	
1.2	MO Theme Definition	MENTAL HEALTH	
1.3		Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator DEP003 inclusive of exceptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March, who have been reviewed not earlier than 10 days after and not later than 56 days after the date of diagnosis	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March inclusive of exceptions	
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March, who have been reviewed not earlier than 10 days after and not later than 56 days after the date of diagnosis divided by the number of patients aged 18 or over with a new diagnosis of depression in the preceding 1 April to 31 March inclusive of exceptions  Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions  The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy	
		the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/-/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/-/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))	
		for full details.	
2.1	ion 2: Rationale Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality	
2.1	ruipose	care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.  Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or	
		appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for DEP003 for an achievement of 45 to 80% with a maximum of 10 points awarded for achievement of 80% or more.  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-	
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Depression affects people in different ways and can cause a wide variety of symptoms. They range from lasting feelings of sadness and hopelessness, to losing interest in the things patients used to enjoy and feeling very tearful. Many people with depression also have symptoms of anxiety. Depression is quite common and affects about 1 in 10 of us at some point. It affects men and women, young and old. Depression can also strike children. Studies have shown that about 4% of children aged 5 to 16 in the UK are anxious or depressed.	
		Treatment for depression involves either medication or talking treatments, or usually a combination of the two.	
		The prevalence of depression and the devastating symptoms and outcomes it can have for patients, aligned with the NHS resources required to treat depression make it valid for inclusion in this dashboard. Mental Health is also a priority in the NHS England business plan.	
		This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with depression remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with depression.	
Sect	ion 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	

3.2	Data owner	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website
	& contact	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/
	details	http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)
		Data available from April 2013
3.4	Data quality	None provided
	assurance	

# MENTAL HEALTH: Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU (ADQ based)

Section	n 1: Introduction / Ove	erview			
1.1	Title	Hypnotics: ADQ/STAR PU	(ADQ based)		
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH			
1.3	Definition			s) for benzodiazepines (indicated for use (BNF 4.1.1 sub-set) ADQ based STAR-	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG			
1.5	Numerator	Total average daily quantity (ADQ) usage for benzodiazepines and "Z" drugs (zolpidem, zopiclone and zaleplon) in BNF 4.1.1			
		BNF Name		BNF Code	
		Flunitrazepam		040101010	
		Flurazepam Hydrochloride		0401010L0	
		Loprazolam Mesilate		0401010N0	
		Lormetazepam		0401010P0	
		Nitrazepam		0401010R0	
		Temazepam		0401010T0	
		Triazolam		0401010V0	
		Zaleplon		0401010W0	
		Zolpidem Tartrate		0401010Y0	
		Zopiclone		0401010Z0	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of hypnotics (	BNF 4.1.1 sub	-set) ADQ based STAR-PU	
		Hypnotics (BNF 4.1.1 sub	-set) ADQ bas	sed STAR-PU (2013 weighting)	
		Age Band	Male	Female	
		0 to 4	0.0	0.0	
		5 to 14	0.0	0.0	
		15 to 24	0.1	0.2	
		25 to 34	0.6	0.9	
		35 to 44	1.6	1.9	
		45 to 54	2.4	3.6	
		55 to 64	3.0	5.0	
		65 to 74	4.4	7.6	
		75+	6.7	11.9	
1.7	Methodology			and "Z" drugs (zolpidem, zopiclone and number of hypnotics (BNF 4.1.1 sub-set)	
		Represented as hypnotics /	ADQ / STAR-P	U	
		STAR-PUs are weightings devised by NHS Digital and the following link provides further information regarding Prescribing Measures <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/10027/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pdf/pres-meas-book-v7.pdf">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/10027/Prescribing-measures-booklet/pdf/pres-meas-book-v7.pdf</a>			
		patient list sizes are only fu	lly refreshed at	ughout a financial quarter and these the end of that financial quarter; therefore are based on the latest available complete	
			: https://apps.n	a are available through NHSBSA hsbsa.nhs.uk/infosystems/welcome)	
	n 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	included in the 'Key therape implementation' publication organisations, with the aim appropriate direction over ti and prescribers in reviewing prescribing where appropria	eutic topics – Modernic topics	or is to support the evidence and messages of Medicines management options for local graph variation in prescribing across riation and a movement of the mean in the arator is intended to support organisations atteness of current prescribing, revise r implementation.	
		This indicator helps review	une mamber of	Trypholos used within a given population.	

2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Hypnotics are medications that encourage sleep. They may be considered:  •if insomnia symptoms are very severe  •to help ease short-term insomnia  •if the good sleep hygiene and cognitive and behavioural treatments mentioned above prove ineffective  More recently evidence has come to light that overuse of these medicines may lead to dependency and do more harm than good. It is generally considered good practice to treat the underlying cause of insomnia rather than the symptoms.  This comparator is taken from the Medicines Optimisation Key Therapeutic Topics (MO KTT) Comparators 2015/16 developed by NHS Digital <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/media/18422/Descriptions-and-Specifications-201516/pdf/Descriptions and Specifications 2015 16.pdf</a>
Sectio	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Business Services Authority
3.2	Data owner & contact details	nhsbsa.help@nhs.net
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from October 2014
3.4	Data quality assurance	NHS Prescription Services have their own internal quality process to assure the data they provide matches what was originally submitted as part of the prescription processing activity.  Some processes are complex and manual therefore there may be random inaccuracies in capturing prescription information which are then reflected in the data but checks are in place to reduce the chance of issues occurring.  The processes operate to a number of key performance indicators, one of which is the percentage Prescription Information Accuracy, the target being 99.0% and as at June 2016 the accuracy level achieved over the latest 12 month rolling period was 99.46%.  NHS Business Services Authority Information Services produce the Medicines Optimisation dashboard on behalf of NHS England. Production and checking processes are in place to deliver information that 100% accurately reflects the data within the source system.

#### MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper threshold or above

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
1.1	Title	Mental Health (MH010) % achieving upper threshold or above	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (90% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator MH010	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator MH010 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator MH010	
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator MH010 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator MH010	
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-	
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.	
Sect	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or	
		appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for MH010 for an achievement of 50 to 90% with a maximum of 2 points awarded for achievement of 90% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a>	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Lithium monitoring is essential due to the narrow therapeutic range of serum lithium and the potential toxicity from intercurrent illness, declining renal function or co-prescription of drugs, for example thiazide diuretics or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), which may reduce lithium excretion	
		This particular indicator was chosen as a proxy marker to demonstrate good adherence to medication regimes. The assumption is that in order to stay within therapeutic range, the prescriber, patient and pharmacist must work collaboratively to support the patients to achieve this aim. The higher the proportion of patients who are within range could indicate a CCG with good practices in place.	
	ion 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website <a href="http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/">http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a> <a href="http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266">http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266</a>	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided	

### MENTAL HEALTH: Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement

Coot	Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
_			
1.1	Title	Mental Health (MH010) % underlying achievement	
1.2	MO Theme	MENTAL HEALTH	
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator MH010 inclusive of exceptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients on lithium therapy with a record of lithium levels in the therapeutic range in the preceding 4 months	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients on lithium therapy inclusive of exceptions	
1.7	1.7 <b>Methodology</b> The number of patients on lithium therapy with a record of lithium levels in the therapeutic in the preceding 4 months divided by the number of patients on lithium therapy inclusive exceptions		
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions	
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.	
Sect	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for MH010 for an achievement of 50 to 90% with a maximum of 2 points awarded for achievement of 90% or more. <a "="" href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-http://www.nhsemployers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-htt&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;2.2&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Evidence&lt;br&gt;and Policy&lt;br&gt;Base&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;u&gt;%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf&lt;/u&gt; Lithium monitoring is essential due to the narrow therapeutic range of serum lithium and the potential toxicity from intercurrent illness, declining renal function or co-prescription of drugs, for example thiazide diuretics or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS), which may reduce lithium excretion This particular indicator was chosen as a proxy marker to demonstrate good adherence to medication regimes. The assumption is that in order to stay within therapeutic range, the prescriber, patient and pharmacist must work collaboratively to support the patients to achieve this aim. The higher the proportion of patients who are within range could indicate a CCG with good practices in place.&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;Sect&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th colspan=3&gt;Section 3: Data&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;3.1&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Data source&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;NHS Digital&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;3.2&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Data owner &amp; contact details&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website  &lt;a href=" http:="" qof.digital.nhs.uk="">http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a> <a href="http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266">http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266</a>	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided	

### OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % achieving upper threshold or above

	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview	
1.1	Title	Osteoporosis (OST005) % achieving upper threshold or above	
		. , ,	
1.2	MO Theme	OSTEOPOROSIS	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (60% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator OST005	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator OST005 (achievement of 60% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator OST005	
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator OST005 (achievement of 60% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator OST005  Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))	
		for full details.	
Sect	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.	
		Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.	
		NB: For 2015/15 QOF, points are awarded for OST005 for an achievement of 30 to 60% with a maximum of 3 points awarded for achievement of 60% or more.	
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Interventions for secondary prevention of fractures in patients who have had an osteoporotic fragility fracture include pharmacological intervention.	
Sect	ion 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website  http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided	

#### OSTEOPOROSIS: Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Osteoporosis (OST005) % underlying achievement		
1.2	MO Theme	OSTEOPOROSIS		
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator OST005 inclusive of exceptions		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014 and a diagnosis of osteoporosis, who are currently treated with an appropriate bone-sparing agent		
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014 and a diagnosis of osteoporosis inclusive of exceptions		
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014, who are currently treated with an appropriate bone-sparing agent divided by the number of patients aged 75 or over with a record of a fragility fracture on or after 1 April 2014 and a diagnosis of osteoporosis inclusive of exceptions  Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions  The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework		
Sect	ion 2: Rationale	(QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20- %2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality		
2.1	Turpose	care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.  Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/15 QOF, points are awarded for OST005 for an achievement of 30 to 60% with a maximum of 3 points awarded for achievement of 60% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf</a>		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Interventions for secondary prevention of fractures in patients who have had an osteoporotic fragility fracture include pharmacological intervention.		
Sect	ion 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website <a href="http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/">http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/</a> <a href="http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266">http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266</a>		
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data) Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided		

### PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service

n 1: Introduction / Over Title  MO Theme Definition  Reporting Level Numerator Denominator	Awareness of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service  PATIENT EXPERIENCE  Percentage of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery" who were aware of the on-line repeat prescription ordering service offered by their GP practice  CCG  Number of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service
Definition  Reporting Level  Numerator	Percentage of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery" who were aware of the on-line repeat prescription ordering service offered by their GP practice  CCG
Reporting Level Numerator	offered by GP surgery" who were aware of the on-line repeat prescription ordering service offered by their GP practice  CCG
Numerator	
	Number of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service
Denominator	
	Number of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery"
Methodology	The number of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service divided by the number of patients who responded to the section "Awareness of online services offered by GP surgery"
	Represented as the percentage of patients aware of on-line repeat prescription ordering service
	Responses include all those completing a questionnaire
	Results of the survey are weighted. For further details see: https://gp-patient.co.uk/faq/weighted-data
	The following document is a PDF version of the GP Patient Survey
	Jul-Sept+2015+GP+ Patient+Survey+que
n 2: Rationale	
Purpose	A measure of patient awareness to an on-line service for ordering repeat prescriptions provided by their GP.
Evidence and Policy Base	An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth Partners to provide NHS England with a better understanding of the value of its Medicines Optimisation (MO) Dashboard to patients. A recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. 'Understanding the patient experience' is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'.
	The NHS's ambition is to embrace technology as part of its drive to offer modern, convenient and responsive services to patients, their families and carers. GP practices are leading the way.
	Today, the majority of GP practices already offer online services, including appointment booking, ordering of repeat prescription, and access to summary information in records. GP practices will increasingly expand online services over the next year. By April 2016, online patient records should include coded information on medication, allergies, illnesses, immunisations and test results.
	Patients have been telling NHS England that they are ready and want to take more control of their own health and wellbeing. Digital technology has the power to change the relationship between patients and their GP practice.
	On-line ordering of repeat prescriptions is safer, more efficient and more convenient to patients and also services <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/patient-online/">https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/patient-online/</a> <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/po-support-resources-guide.pdf">https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/po-support-resources-guide.pdf</a>
n 3: Data	
Data source	NHS England <a href="https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports#july-2016">https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports#july-2016</a>
	Purpose  Evidence and Policy Base

3.2	Data owner &	https://gp-patient.co.uk/
	contact details	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 6 months of survey being undertaken.
		Data available from July 2015
3.4	Data quality	See GP Survey – Technical annex
	assurance	http://gp-survey-
		production.s3.amazonaws.com/archive/2016/July/July2016NationalTechnicalReport.
		<u>pdf</u>

# PATIENT EXPERIENCE: Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service

on 1: Introduction / Over	view
Title	Use of the on-line ordering of repeat prescriptions service
MO Theme	PATIENT EXPERIENCE  Percentage of patients who responded to the section "Use of online services offered
	by GP surgery" who in the reporting period used the on-line repeat prescription ordering service offered by their GP practice
Reporting Level	CCG
Numerator	Number of patients who used the on-line repeat prescription ordering service in the reporting period
	Number of patients who responded to the section "Use of on-line services offered by GP surgery"
Methodology	The number of patients who used the on-line repeat prescription ordering service in the reporting period divided by the number of patients who responded to the section "Use of on-line services offered by GP surgery"
	Represented as the percentage of patients using on-line repeat prescription ordering service
	Responses include all those completing a questionnaire
	Results of the survey are weighted. For further details see: <a href="https://gp-patient.co.uk/faq/weighted-data">https://gp-patient.co.uk/faq/weighted-data</a>
	The following document is a PDF version of the GP Patient Survey
	POF
	Jul-Sept+2015+GP+ Patient+Survey+que
Purpose	A measure of patient use of on-line services for ordering repeat prescriptions provided by their GP.
Evidence and Policy Base	An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth Partners to provide NHS England with a better understanding of the value of its Medicines Optimisation (MO) Dashboard to patients. A recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. 'Understanding the patient experience' is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'.
	The NHS's ambition is to embrace technology as part of its drive to offer modern, convenient and responsive services to patients, their families and carers. GP practices are leading the way.
	Today, the majority of GP practices already offer online services, including appointment booking, ordering of repeat prescription, and access to summary information in records. GP practices will increasingly expand online services over the next year. By April 2016, online patient records should include coded information on medication, allergies, illnesses, immunisations and test results.
	Patients have been telling NHS England that they are ready and want to take more control of their own health and wellbeing. Digital technology has the power to change the relationship between patients and their GP practice.
	On-line ordering of repeat prescriptions is safer, more efficient and more convenient to patients and also services <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/patient-online/">https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/pe/patient-online/</a> <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/po-support-resources-guide.pdf">https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/po-support-resources-guide.pdf</a>
	Title  MO Theme Definition  Reporting Level Numerator  Denominator  Methodology  on 2: Rationale Purpose  Evidence and Policy

Section	Section 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS England <a href="https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports#july-2016">https://gp-patient.co.uk/surveys-and-reports#july-2016</a>	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	https://gp-patient.co.uk/	
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 6 months of survey being undertaken Data available from July 2015	
3.4	Data quality assurance	See GP Survey – Technical annex <a href="http://gp-survey-production.s3.amazonaws.com/archive/2016/July/July2016NationalTechnicalReport.pdf">http://gp-survey-production.s3.amazonaws.com/archive/2016/July/July2016NationalTechnicalReport.pdf</a>	

### **PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Availability**

Section	n 1: Introduction / Over	view
1.1	Title	Summary Care Records Availability
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY
1.3	Definition	Proportion of practices who are live with the Summary Care Record (SCR) and therefore able to upload patient records onto the SCR
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG
1.5	Numerator	Number of Practices live with the SCR
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices
1.7	Methodology	The number of Practices live with the SCR divided by the total number of practices
Soction	n 2: Rationale	Represented as the percentage of practices live with the SCR
2.1	Purpose	Allow for the uploading of Summary Care Records by Practices to facilitate safe and
		effective medicines optimisation in other care settings
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	SCRs have many benefits for patients and healthcare staff in urgent and emergency care settings (such as out-of-hours GP services and Emergency Departments). SCRs provide access to health information that has previously been unavailable, enabling authorised healthcare staff to make informed clinical decisions. Benefits to patients  • SCRs are accessible to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency in England. This will be particularly useful when a patient cannot give information (for example if they are unconscious) or when they are away from home and are unable to see their own GP.  • Patient care can be supported by healthcare staff having faster access to their medical information and patients may not be required to repeat information to different NHS staff treating them. For example, in a hospital setting, healthcare staff will be able to access a patient's SCRs immediately enabling faster assessment.  • SCRs can support better, safer prescribing of medication for patients by providing up to date information on a patient's allergies, previous adverse reactions and medications.  • SCRs will enable vulnerable patient groups and those patients that are unable to communicate well with healthcare staff. For example, a non-English speaking patient that could struggle to communicate their condition would no longer be disadvantaged as their SCR would be available to the treating clinician.  • Additional information, such as end of life care plans and relevant diagnoses, may be available to inform clinical care where it is appropriate.  Benefits to NHS healthcare staff  • Important patient information will be available to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency where they had previously not had access to it. This will be particularly useful to NHS staff treating patients in an emergency, when a patient needs treatment out of hours or away from their local area.  • SCRs contain details of a patient's key health information including medications, allergies and adverse reactio
Section	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital
3.2	Data owner & contact details	http://digital.nhs.uk http://systems.digital.nhs.uk/scr
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with month end data Data available from as at 17 April 2015
3.4	Data quality assurance	Summary Care Record has their own internal quality process to assure the data they receive from various sources that contributes to SCR availability at CCG level. Best endeavours are made to ensure this data is accurate but due to the complex nature there may be some errors at times.

### RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above

Sect	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview	
1.1	Title	Asthma (AST003) % achieving upper threshold or above	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (70% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator AST003	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AST003 (achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AST003	
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator AST003 (achievement of 70% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator AST003	
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-	
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))	
		for full details.	
2.1	ion 2: Rationale Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality	
2.1	. u.pooo	care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services.  Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for AST003 for an achievement of 45 to 70% with a maximum of 20 points awarded for achievement of 70% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a>	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness.  The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems. Occasionally, asthma symptoms can get gradually or suddenly worse.  While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the condition.  Treatment is based on two important goals, which are:  •relieving symptoms  •preventing future symptoms and attacks  For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important.  Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual.	
		Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.  This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with asthma remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with asthma.	

Sect	Section 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website	
	& contact	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/	
	details	http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)	
		Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

### RESPIRATORY: Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement

200	tion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview	
1.1	Title	Asthma (AST003) % underlying achievement	
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY	
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator AST003 inclusive of exceptions	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the	
		preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions	
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with asthma on the register inclusive of exceptions	
1.7	Methodology	The number of patients with asthma, on the register, who have had an asthma review in the preceding 12 months that includes an assessment of asthma control using the 3 RCP questions divided by the number of patients with asthma on the register inclusive of exceptions	
		Represented as the percentage underlying achievement level inclusive of exceptions	
		The denominator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers)  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-	
		%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145))	
		for full details.	
	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for AST003 for an achievement of 45 to 70% with a maximum of 20 points awarded for achievement of 70% or more. <a href="http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf">http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20quidance%20documents.pdf</a>	
2.2 Evidence and Policy Base Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, characteristics and breathlessness.  The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be considered as the constant of the const		The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems.	
		While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the condition.  Treatment is based on two important goals, which are: •relieving symptoms •preventing future symptoms and attacks  For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important.  Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual.  Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.	

Sect	Section 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	Data source NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website	
	& contact	http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/	
	details	http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266	
3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)	
	Data available from April 2013		
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

### **RESPIRATORY: Emergency Asthma Admissions**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview		
Title	Emergency Asthma Admissions	
MO Theme	RESPIRATORY	
Definition	The number of emergency attendances for asthma per 100 patients on the practice asthma disease register	
Reporting Level	CCG	
Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J45- J46	
Denominator	Number of patients on practice disease register for asthma as of 31 March 2015	
Methodology	The count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J45- J46 divided by the number of patients on practice disease register for asthma as of 31 March 2015  Represented as emergency asthma admissions per 100 patients on asthma disease register	
n 2: Rationale	109.000	
Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with asthma as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.	
Base  2: Data	Asthma is a common long-term condition that can cause coughing, wheezing, chest tightness and breathlessness.  The severity of these symptoms varies from person to person. Asthma can be controlled well in most people most of the time, although some people may have more persistent problems.  Occasionally, asthma symptoms can get gradually or suddenly worse. While there is no cure for asthma, there are a number of treatments that can help control the condition.  Treatment is based on two important goals, which are:  *relieving symptoms  *preventing future symptoms and attacks  For most people, treatment will involve the occasional – or, more commonly, daily – use of medications, usually taken using an inhaler. However, identifying and avoiding possible triggers is also important.  Severe attacks may require hospital treatment and can be life threatening, although this is unusual.  Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.  Emergency admissions due to asthma can often be avoidable if prevention and alleviation of symptoms are managed effectively and appropriately. Emergency admissions due to asthma can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.	
	NIIO E 1 10 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>	
Data owner & contact details	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>	
Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data Data available from April 2013	
Data quality assurance	None provided	
	Title  MO Theme  Definition  Reporting Level  Numerator  Denominator  Methodology  n 2: Rationale  Purpose  Evidence and Policy Base  Evidence and Policy Base  Data source  Data owner & contact details  Time Frame  Data quality	

# RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upper threshold or above

Sect	ion 1: Introduct	ion / Overview	
1.1	Title	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % achieving upper threshold or above	
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY	
1.3	Definition	The percentage of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above (90% or more inclusive of exceptions) for QOF indicator COPD003	
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG	
1.5	Numerator	Number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator COPD003 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions)	
1.6	Denominator	Total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator COPD003	
1.7	Methodology	The number of practices in a CCG that achieve upper threshold or above for QOF indicator COPD003 (achievement of 90% or more inclusive of exceptions) divided by the total number of practices in a CCG with eligible patients for QOF indicator COPD003	
		Represented as the percentage of practices achieving upper threshold or above inclusive of exceptions	
		The comparator is inclusive of exceptions. In other words, it includes all the patients who satisfy the denominator criteria, even if some have been "excepted". "Exceptions" relate to registered patients who are on the relevant disease register or in the target population group and would ordinarily be included in the indicator denominator, but who are excepted by the contractor on the basis of one or more of the exception criteria. Although patients may be excepted from the denominator, they should still be the recipients of best clinical care and practice.  See 2015/16 General Medical Services (GMS) contract Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF): Guidance for GMS contract 2015/16 (NHS Employers) <a href="https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf">https://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf</a> (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.	
Sect	ion 2: Rationale		
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for COPD003 for an achievement of 50 to 90% with a maximum of 9 points awarded for achievement of 90% or more.  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20-%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf	
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s. It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'.  COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing.  Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.  This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with COPD remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with COPD.	
	ion 3: Data		
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital	
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266	

3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)	
		Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

# RESPIRATORY: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % underlying achievement

Sact	Section 1: Introduction / Overview			
1.1	Title	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD003) % underlying achievement		
		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY		
1.3	Definition	Percentage underlying achievement at CCG level for QOF indicator COPD003 inclusive of exceptions		
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG		
1.5	Numerator	Number of patients with COPD who have had a review, undertaken by a healthcare professional, including an assessment of breathlessness using the Medical Research Council dyspnoea scale in the preceding 12 months		
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients with COPD inclusive of exceptions		
1.7	Methodology			
		http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20- %2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf (page 12 and Section 5 (pages 138 - 145)) for full details.		
Sect	ion 2: Rationale			
2.1	Purpose	The Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) rewards contractors for the provision of quality care and helps to standardise improvements in the delivery of primary medical services. Contractor participation in QOF is voluntary.  Within the QOF there are a number of indicators that are associated with the effective and/or appropriate use of medicines.  NB: For 2015/16 QOF, points are awarded for COPD003 for an achievement of 50 to 90% with a maximum of 9 points awarded for achievement of 90% or more.  http://www.nhsemployers.org/~/media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2015%20%2016/2015-16%20QOF%20guidance%20documents.pdf		
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s. It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'.  COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing.  Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions. Appropriate treatment in terms of prevention and alleviation of symptoms is critical to avoid emergency admissions and enhanced quality of life, hence its inclusion in this dashboard.  This indicator was chosen because existing evidence suggests that many patients with COPD remain untreated or treated inappropriately. CCGs with a comparatively higher score may be deploying systematic process to identify and treat patients with COPD.		
Sect	ion 3: Data			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital		
3.2	Data owner & contact details	QOF CCG level table. NHS Digital website http://qof.digital.nhs.uk/ http://www.content.digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB22266		

3.3	Time Frame	2015/16 (NB: Refreshed yearly each November with latest annual data)	
		Data available from April 2013	
3.4	Data quality	None provided	
	assurance		

#### **RESPIRATORY: Emergency COPD Admissions**

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Emergency COPD Admissions			
1.2	MO Theme	RESPIRATORY			
1.3	Definition	The number of emergency attendances for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease per 100 patients on the practice COPD disease register			
1.4	Reporting Level	CCG			
1.5	Numerator	Count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J40-J44			
1.6	Denominator	Number of patients on practice disease register for COPD as of 31 March 2015			
1.7	Methodology	The count of completed spells and sum of PBR tariff where a) admission method is emergency (21, 22, 23, 24, 28); b) patient classification is inpatient (1); c) ICD10 primary diagnosis code is in range J40-J44 divided by the number of patients on practice disease register for COPD as of 31 March 2015  Represented as emergency COPD admissions per 100 patients on COPD disease register			
Sectio	n 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	To highlight and compare the rate of hospital emergency admissions due to complications associated with COPD as a proxy for the effective management of the condition.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	COPD is one of the most common respiratory diseases in the UK. It usually only starts to affect people over the age of 35, although most people are not diagnosed until they are in their 50s.  It is thought there are more than 3 million people living with the disease in the UK, of which only about 900,000 have been diagnosed. This is because many people who develop symptoms of COPD do not get medical help because they often dismiss their symptoms as a 'smoker's cough'.  COPD affects more men than women, although rates in women are increasing. Good treatment of COPD can make a dramatic difference to quality of life and reduce emergency hospital admissions.  Emergency admissions due to exacerbations of COPD can often be avoidable if treatment is carried out well and appropriately. Emergency admissions due to exacerbations of COPD can therefore be used to an extent as a proxy for the quality of management of the condition, including the optimal use of medicines.			
Sectio	Section 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	NHS England General Practice High Level Indicators <a href="https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/">https://www.primarycare.nhs.uk/</a>			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 12 months accumulated data Data available from April 2013			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided			

# **Hospital Trust Comparators**

#### **BIOSIMILARS: % of Infliximab biosimilars**

Section 1: Introduction / Overview					
1.1	Title	% of Infliximab biosimilars			
1.2	MO Theme	BIOSIMILARS			
1.3	Definition	The percentage of the biosimilar versions for infliximab purchased (by volume).			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	The volume of the biosimilar versions for infliximab purchased			
	Denominator	·			
1.6	Denominator	The volume of all infliximab (originator and biosimilar) purchased.			
1.7	Methodology	The volume of the biosimilar versions purchased divided by the volume of all infliximab (originator and biosimilar) purchased.			
		Represented as the percentage of the biosimilar versions for infliximab (by volume)			
		The percentage is calculated using the reported number of vials of biosimilar versions of infliximab (Flixabi, Inflectra and Remsima)			
		Where a percentage figure shows as zero against a Trust for the biosimilar versions this does not necessarily indicate that the originator brand is therefore 100%. NHSBSA do not receive actual figures for the numerator or denominator, just percentage figures at trust level, therefore this comparator cannot be calculated or presented at other geographies.			
	n 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Competition between different biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines. This is particularly relevant in the context of Future Focused Finance which is looking at how the NHS can be supported to take value based decisions. There are additional benefits, such as further sources of supply.  Biosimilar medicines are more challenging and expensive to develop than generic medicines, but there are significant savings associated with increased competition			
		between biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines.  Many Trusts have introduced active and successful programmes to implement the use of biosimilar infliximab in gastroenterology & rheumatology patients. This work has been collaborative with clinicians and patients and has resulted in significant savings for the health economies that allows funding to be used for other healthcare. <a href="https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/biosimilar-guide.pdf">https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/biosimilar-guide.pdf</a>			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Biosimilars have been licensed by the appropriate regulator (MHRA or EMA) and is a biological medicine which is highly similar to another biological medicine already licensed for use which has been shown not to have any clinically meaningful differences from the originator biological medicine in terms of quality, safety and efficacy. Continuing development of biological medicines, including biosimilar medicines, creates increased choice for patients and clinicians, increased commercial competition and enhanced value propositions for individual medicines.  In the UK, the MHRA recommends that all biological medicines, including biosimilar			
		medicines, are prescribed by brand name ( <u>February 2008 edition of Drug Safety Update</u> ) so that products cannot be automatically substituted at the point of dispensing. The choice of whether a patient receives a biosimilar or originator biological medicine rests with the responsible clinician in consultation with the patient.			
Section	Section 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	Pharmex, Commercial Medicine Unit, Medicines, Pharmacy & Industry, Department of Health			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	Sharon.Cooney1@dh.gsi.gov.uk			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with quarterly data Data available from July 2015			
3.4	Data quality assurance	None provided "The quality of the Pharmex data is dependent on how the hospital trusts record the details in the system. For future iterations of the MO Dashboard work is underway to align the biosimilar data to that of the HoPMOp Dashboard.			

# PATIENT EXPERIENCE: CQC In-patient Survey (2015) Q60 to Q63

	n 1: Introduction / Over	view					
1.1	Title	CQC In-patient Survey (2015) Q60 to Q63					
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT EXPERIENCE					
1.3	Definition	The sum of the mean scores for the responses to questions 60 to 63 in the Care Quality Commission in-patient survey (2015), expressed as a percentage of the maximum possible score of 40.					
		Q60 "Did a member of staff explain the purpos home in a way you could understand"?	se of the	medicir	nes you	were to	o take at
		Q61 "Did a member of staff tell you about med you went home?"	dication s	ide effe	ects to v	vatch fo	or when
		Q62 "Were you told how to take your medicati	on in a w	ay you	could u	ınderst	and?"
		Q63 "Were you given clear written or printed in	nformatio	n abou	t your n	nedicin	es"
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust					
1.5	Numerator	The aggregated mean score for the responses	s to ques	tions 60	to 63		
1.6	Denominator	40 (maximum possible score for Q60 to Q63)					
1.7	Methodology	The sum of the mean scores for the response (maximum possible score)  Represented as the percentage of the maximum Scoring system for Q60 to Q63	•				by 40
		Response	Q60	Q61	Q62	Q63	1
		Yes, completely	10	10	10	10	1
		Yes, to some extent	5	5	5	5	
		No	0	0	0	0	
		I did not need an explanation	n/a	n/a			
		I had no medicines I did not need to be told how to take my medication	n/a		n/a		
		I did not need this				n/a	
		Don't know / Can't remember				n/a	
		Mean score for each question is calculated by totalling the score provided by each patient surveyed and dividing by the number of patients surveyed excluding n/a responses.  Due to the way NHSBSA receive the data at trust level other geographies are not provided.  See technical document for details of how the survey was undertaken and the methodologies applied to analysing and presenting the findings. <a href="http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20160608_ip15_technical_document.pdf">http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20160608_ip15_technical_document.pdf</a> Hospital benchmark reports are also available via the following link. <a href="http://www.nhssurveys.org/surveys/950">http://www.nhssurveys.org/surveys/950</a>					
Section	n 2: Rationale						
2.1	Purpose	A measure of the information provided to patie the side-effects of their medicines.	ents, on c	lischarg	ge from	hospita	al, about
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	According to NICE's Medicines optimisation guidelines (published in March 2015) relevant information about medicines should be shared with patients and their family members or carers, where appropriate, and between health and social care practitioners when a person moves from one care setting to another, to support high-quality care.					
		An evaluation was undertaken by Monmouth F	Partners	to provi	de NHS	S Engla	nd with

		a better understanding of the value of its Medicines Optimisation (MO) Dashboard to patients. A recommendation from the evaluation was 'Patient experience data for medicines is being collated nationally and should be included in the current MO Dashboard for NHS stakeholders. 'Understanding the patient experience' is the first principle of medicines optimisation and this should be echoed through future reiterations of the MO Dashboard'.
Sectio	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	CQC - Care Quality Commission Adult Inpatient Survey (September 2015 to January 2016)
3.2	Data owner & contact details	http://www.cqc.org.uk/content/adult-inpatient-survey-2015
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed periodically with 5 months of data month
		Data available from September 2015
3.4	Data quality	See 2015 In-patient Survey: Quality and Methodology Report
	assurance	http://www.cqc.org.uk/sites/default/files/20160608_ip15_quality_and_methodology_r
		eport.pdf

#### **PATIENT SAFETY: Medicines Reconciliation**

Section	n 1: Introduction / Over	view
1.1	Title	Medicines Reconciliation
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY
1.3	Definition	Percentage of adult inpatients receiving medicines reconciliation within 24 hours of admission
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust
1.5	Numerator	Total number of patients who received medicines reconciliation for all medicines undertaken (started) within 24 hours of admission to this care setting
1.6	Denominator	Total number of patients' records including those that have both received and not received medicines reconciliation
1.7	Methodology	Total number of patients who received medicines reconciliation for all medicines undertaken (started) within 24 hours of admission divided by the total number of patients' records including those both received and not received medicines reconciliation.
		Represented as proportion of patients receiving medicines reconciliation (%)
		Data is provided by both NMRD (National Medicines Reconciliation Database) and ST (Safety Thermometer). Where a trust submits to both tools it is agreed between the NHSBSA and the Data provider as to which data is included in the dashboard.
		NMRD: The data in the dashboard represents information for all trusts that populated data.
		ST: The data in the dashboard represents information populated by trusts designated as 'Acute'
Section 2.1	n 2: Rationale Purpose	The aim of medicines reconciliation on hospital admission is to ensure that
		medicines prescribed on admission correspond to those that the patient was taking before admission. Details to be recorded include the name of the medicine(s), dosage, frequency, and route of administration. Establishing these details may involve discussion with the patient and/or carers and the use of records from primary care.  The NHS has launched the medication safety thermometer which uses medicines reconciliation and some other measures to help trusts improve their medication
		safety and to focus on the issues of medication error and harm caused from medication error. The NHS Safety Thermometer is a local improvement tool for measuring, monitoring and analysing patient harms and 'harm free' care. Data for the metric has been sourced from the Safety Thermometer and also the National Medicines Reconciliation Database (NMRD).
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	In 2007, NICE developed a Technical patient safety solution for medicines reconciliation on admission of adults to hospital (PSG001). It recommended that all healthcare organisations that admit adult inpatients should put policies in place for medicines reconciliation on admission. This includes mental health units, and applies to elective and emergency admissions.
	n 3: Data	
3.1	Data source	National Medicine Reconciliation Database (part of Oxford AHSN)  Safety Thermometer
3.2	Data owner & contact details	https://nww.nmrd.nhs.uk/home.aspx  www.safetythermometer.nhs.uk/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=3& Itemid=107
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with 12 months of accumulated data Data available from January 2014

3.4	Data quality	NMRD: There are 2 identifiable component stages to the process of MR which can		
	assurance	be determined by measurable outputs:		
		Component stage	Measurable Output	
		Initiation of medicines reconciliation (1st stage)	Accurate drug history recorded AND Any discrepancies between pre- admission and admission medication have been identified and clearly documented	
		Completion of medicines reconciliation (2nd stage)	Discrepancies resolved in an appropriate time scale AND Written record has been made to clearly communicate outcomes of resolutions including justification of discrepancies	
		The database currently requires measured undertaken within 24 hours because this in the data collection sample size needs to results. Many organisations choose to collaborate however a minimum sample size in the organization rates derived from HES is the database for individual organisations.  ST: None provided	s the historic measure agreed.  be large enough to provide meaningful lect data from the whole of their service,	

#### **PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS % of harmful events**

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	NRLS - % of harmful events			
		THE TO THAINING OVER THE			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Number of medication incidents reported as causing low, moderate or severe harm			
		or death as a proportion of all medication errors as reported to NRLS			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	Number of reported incidents of harm involving medicines			
1.6	Denominator	Total number of all reported incidents involving medicines			
1.7	Methodology	The number of reported incidents of harm involving medicines (incidents reported as resulting in either 'Low harm', 'Moderate harm', 'Severe harm' or a 'Death') divided by the total number of reported incidents involving medicines.  Represented as a percentage of all medication incidents reported to NRLS.			
Section	n 2: Rationale	Troprocented de a percentage of all moderation including reported to 11/126.			
2.1	Purpose	The NRLS was established in 2003. The system enables patient safety incident			
		reports to be submitted to a national database. This data is then analysed to identify hazards, risks and opportunities to improve the safety of patient care. <a href="http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/report-a-patient-safety-incident/about-reporting-patient-safety-incidents/">http://www.nrls.npsa.nhs.uk/report-a-patient-safety-incidents/</a>			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	Organisations with an open and honest reporting culture, where staff believe reporting incidents is worthwhile because preventative action will be taken, are likely to report a higher proportion of 'no harm' incidents than an organisation with a less mature reporting and learning culture  Since the NRLS was established, over four million incident reports have been submitted by healthcare staff.			
Section	n 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS National Patient Safety Agency, NRLS Reporting <a href="https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/organisation-patient-safety-incident-reports-28-september-2016/">https://improvement.nhs.uk/resources/organisation-patient-safety-incident-reports-28-september-2016/</a>			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	Nrls.datarequests@nhs.net			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed 6 monthly with 6 months of data Data available from April 2014			
3.4	Data quality assurance	https://improvement.nhs.uk/uploads/documents/Data Handling Notes Sep16 FINA L.pdf			

#### **PATIENT SAFETY: NRLS reporting rate**

	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	NRLS - Reporting rate			
1.1	Title	NICES - Reporting rate			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Number of medication incidents reported to NRLS per "activity"			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	Number of medication incidents reported to NRLS			
1.6	Denominator	FCE days of hospital care			
1.7	Methodology	The number of medication incidents reported to NRLS divided by the FCE days of hospital care			
		Represented as the total incidents per 100,000 FCE days of hospital care			
Section	n 2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Organisations who do not have an open and honest reporting culture, and where staff do not believe reporting incidents is worthwhile, are likely to report fewer medication incidents given their overall activity than an organisation with a more mature reporting and learning culture.  Whilst low reporting levels are always a concern, high reporting can be symptomatic of either good reporting or high levels actual problems (including issues of medication supply)			
		This comparator aims to provoke local discussions about how to drive up reporting and ensure a learning culture.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	The NRLS was established in 2003. The system enables patient safety incident reports to be submitted to a national database. This data is then analysed to identify hazards, risks and opportunities to improve the safety of patient care. Since the NRLS was established, over four million incident reports have been submitted by healthcare staff.			
Section	n 3: Data				
3.1	Data source	NHS National Patient Safety Agency, NRLS Reporting			
		Safe Medication Practice Team, Nursing Directorate, NHS England			
		NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	Numerator: Nrls.datarequests@nhs.net			
		Denominator: Hospital Episode Statistics (HES), NHS Digital <a href="http://content.digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue?q=title%3A%22Provisional+Monthly+H">http://content.digital.nhs.uk/searchcatalogue?q=title%3A%22Provisional+Monthly+H</a> ospital+Episode+Statistics%22&area=&size=10&sort=Relevance			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed 6 monthly with 6 months of data Data available from April 2014			
3.4	Data quality assurance	The following link provides a document outlining the quality assurance regarding the numerator data. <a href="https://improvement.nhs.uk/uploads/documents/Data Handling Notes Sep16 FINAL.pdf">https://improvement.nhs.uk/uploads/documents/Data Handling Notes Sep16 FINAL.pdf</a> Denominator data – none provided			
		·			

### **PATIENT SAFETY: Summary Care Records Utilisation**

Section	Section 1: Introduction / Overview				
1.1	Title	Summary Care Records Utilisation			
1.2	MO Theme	PATIENT SAFETY			
1.3	Definition	Number of times the Summary Care Record (SCR) is viewed by NHS Hospital Trusts as a percentage of the number of in-patient non-elective admissions			
1.4	Reporting Level	Hospital Trust			
1.5	Numerator	The number of times the SCR has been viewed at Trust level			
1.6	Denominator	Number of non-elective admissions			
1.7	Methodology	The number of times the SCR has been viewed at Trust level divided by the number of non- elective admissions Represented as the number of times the SCR is viewed as a percentage of in-patient non- elective admissions Providers with utilisation greater than 100% indicates that the SCR would have been viewed by more than one clinician during a patient pathway			
	2: Rationale				
2.1	Purpose	Access to the SCR facilitates safe and effective medicines optimisation on admission to hospital. In-patient non-elective admissions is used as the denominator as SCR is used within emergency and urgent care settings.			
2.2	Evidence and Policy Base	SCRs have many benefits for patients and healthcare staff in urgent and emergency care settings (such as out-of-hours GP services and Emergency Departments). SCRs provide access to health information that has previously been unavailable, enabling authorised healthcare staff to make informed clinical decisions.  Benefits to patients  • SCRs are accessible to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency in England. This will be particularly useful when a patient cannot give information (for example if they are unconscious) or when they are away from home and are unable to see their own GP.  • Patient care can be supported by healthcare staff having faster access to their medical information and patients may not be required to repeat information to different NHS staff treating them. For example, in a hospital setting, healthcare staff will be able to access a patient's SCRs immediately enabling faster assessment.  • SCRs can support better, safer prescribing of medication for patients by providing up to date information on a patient's allergies, previous adverse reactions and medications.  • SCRs will enable vulnerable patient groups and those patients that are unable to communicate well with healthcare staff. For example, a non-English speaking patient that could struggle to communicate their condition would no longer be disadvantaged as their SCR would be available to the treating clinician.  • Additional information, such as end of life care plans and relevant diagnoses, may be available to inform clinical care where it is appropriate.  Benefits to NHS healthcare staff  • Important patient information will be available to authorised healthcare staff treating patients in an emergency where they had previously not had access to it. This will be particularly useful to NHS staff treating patients in an emergency, when a patient needs treatment out of hours or away from their local area.  • SCRs contain details of a patient's key health information including medications, allergies and adverse reacti			
	3: Data	ANIO District			
3.1	Data source	NHS Digital			
3.2	Data owner & contact details	http://digital.nhs.uk http://systems.digital.nhs.uk/scr			
3.3	Time Frame	Refreshed quarterly with month end data Data available from February 2015			
3.4	Data quality assurance	Summary Care Record have their own internal quality process to assure the data they receive from various sources that contributes to SCR availability at Trust level. Best endeavours are made to ensure this data is accurate but due to the complex nature there may be some errors at times.			